

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND**

ORDER

On February 5, 2025, the Defendant filed *pro se* motions to review and to modify a condition of his release to substitute certain South Carolina properties (The “South Carolina Properties”) for his Washington, DC residence (the “Washington, DC Residence”) as collateral to reasonably assure his appearance and to limit the scope of the forfeiture allegation in the Indictment. ECF No. 30. On February 6, 2025, the Government filed a motion to strike the Defendant’s motions. ECF No. 32. These motions are fully briefed. ECF Nos. 30, 32, 34 and 67. The Court held a hearing on these matters on February 14, 2025. ECF No. 71.

In light of the foregoing, and for the reasons stated during the February 14, 2025, hearing, the Court:

1. **DENIES** the Government's motion to strike (ECF No. 32);
2. **DENIES** the Defendant's motion to review and to modify the conditions of his release to substitute the South Carolina Properties for the Washington, DC Residence (ECF No. 30) **WITHOUT PREJUDICE** and **SUSTAINS** the decision of the Chief Magistrate Judge; and
3. **DENIES** the Defendant's motion to limit the scope of the forfeiture allegation in the Indictment (ECF No. 30) **WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

A memorandum opinion consistent with this Order shall follow.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Lydia Kay Griggsby
LYDIA KAY GRIGGSBY
United States District Judge